

---

Subject: Re: How can I print large PS polygons from PV-WAVE?

Posted by [sigut](#) on Mon, 19 Dec 1994 09:01:58 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

In article <3csir7\$qlg@lyra.csx.cam.ac.uk> iarla@atm.ch.cam.ac.uk  
(Iarla Kilbane-Dawe) writes:

- > As many of you will be aware, there is a limit on the maximum size
- > of polygon that PV-WAVE thinks postscript can print, being a polygon
- > of 750 points. I routinely deal with complex contours made up of
- > several thousand point polygons and currently can't print them.
- >
- > Does anybody have a work around for this; even a satisfactory way
- > of splitting up the polygons would be grateful.

I think, that I've got an answer in an old posting which is attached below.  
In the meantime, let me just make a comment about the way your phone  
number is written:

- > Centre for Atmospheric Science            Phone: ++44 (01223) 336524

==

Please, please, please; write +44 ... i.e. use just ONE plus sign before  
the country code. It was originally agreed, (sorry, can't find the article)  
that ONE plus stands for whatever is used in the respective country to  
gain the international exchange (e.g. 010 in Britain, 07 in Spain, 990 in  
Finland etc.). Unluckily in Germany and Switzerland where the code is 00  
(two zeroes) people misunderstood the original intent and started using  
two plus signs for the two zeroes. Apart from the fact, that this undermines  
the intent (being able to give a clearly defined country code usable from  
ANY country), it needs just a bit of imagination to draw various scenarios  
with potentially deadly outcome when ++ instead of + is used in automatic  
service.

OK, I am stepping down from the soap box. Here is the old posting:

Date: 13 May 1994 14:36:15 GMT

In-reply-to: frp@ssec.wisc.edu's message of 12 May 1994 16:25:56 GMT

In article <2qtlak\$im1@spool.cs.wisc.edu> frp@ssec.wisc.edui

(Francois Pomport) writes:

I am trying to print some filled contour graphics on a color  
printer, but the printer seems to ignore them. Each time IDL warns  
us that the number of polygon vertices may exceed some printer  
capabilities when it is generating the postscript file. I have  
tried to reduce the number of contour levels up to 7 (which is  
not very much) in order to be below the limitations but it  
doesn't work. Does anyone have encountered this warning message  
before? How did you succeed in printing your files? I know that my  
problem is related to the printer (Tektronix Pahser II). Do you

have any other references for new color printers with an estimated price?

Francois  
frp@ssec.wisc.edu  
University of Wisconsin

Hi there,

I believe that your problem is NOT related to your printer, but to the POLYFILL routine used by CONTOUR,/FILL or POLYCONTOUR.

This routine takes each closed contour as a polygon and sends it to the PostScript device to be filled. When the POLYFILL routine was developed, there was (and perhaps still is) a limit as to the number of vertices the PostScript device would be able to handle. If it is larger than 750 (or somesuch), it gives you a warning and might do anything between ignoring the request and breaking off, depending on the software version.

I wrote a hack to avoid this problem in the PV-Wave version of the POLYCONTOUR routine, which could be reworked easily for IDL. The only problem is, that in IDL you are discouraged to use POLYCONTOUR, because it was replaced by the /FILL option to CONTOUR. Of course, the source for CONTOUR is not available...

Anyway, since I started let's have a look. The tested change for PV-Wave looks as follows:

pro polycontour, ...

```
...  
if col ge 100 then col = 199-col ;Drawing index = 1 less than orig  
col = color_index(col+1)
```

```
; This add-on was written to avoid the problem with  
; "Too many vertices for PostScript polygon fill."  
; The solution is to "thin out" the polygon and hope  
; that it will still look the same.  
    sec_dim=size(xyarr)  
    gms_siz=sec_dim(2)  
    if gms_siz gt 750 then begin  
        gms_siz = gms_siz/2  
        while gms_siz gt 750 do gms_siz = gms_siz/2  
        xyarr=congrid(xyarr,2,gms_siz)  
    endif  
; end-of-the-hack
```

```

if n_elements(pat) ne 0 then begin
  s = size(pat)
  if s(0) ne 3 then message, 'Pattern array not 3d.'
...

```

(you can find easily where to plug it in)

The UNTESTED version for IDL would look as follows:

```

pro polycontour, ...

```

```

...
if col ge 100 then col = 199-col ;Drawing index = 1 less than orig
col = color_index(col+1)

```

```

; This add-on was written to avoid the problem with
; "Too many vertices for PostScript polygon fill."
; The solution is to "thin out" the polygon and hope
; that it will still look the same.

```

```

    sec_dim=size(xyarr)
    gms_siz=sec_dim(1)
    if gms_siz gt 750 then begin
      gms_siz = gms_siz/2
      while gms_siz gt 750 do gms_siz = gms_siz/2
      xyarr=congrid(xyarr,gms_siz,2)
    endif
; end-of-the-hack

```

```

if n_elements(pat) ne 0 then begin
  s = size(pat)
  if s(0) ne 3 then message, 'Pattern array not 3d.'
...

```

The file polycontour.pro can be found for Wave in ../wave/lib/std  
and for IDL in ../idl/lib/userlib

Well, that's all.

Good luck,

George

--

-----  
George M.Sigut ETH Informatikdienste, Beratung & Schulung, CH-8092 Zurich  
Swiss Federal Inst. of Technology, Computing Services, User Support & Training  
email: sigut@bs.id.ethz.ch Phone: +41 1 632 5763 Fax: +41 1 632 1225

>>>> >>>>> in case of email problems send the mail to "sigut@acm.org" <<<<<<<<<

-----