Subject: Re: IDLDE refresh in Windows XP (IDL 5.2.1)
Posted by Mark Hadfield on Tue, 17 Dec 2002 20:23:57 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

"David Burridge" <davidb@clogic.f9.co.uk> wrote in message news:mfDL9.1769\$h43.268177@stones...

- > Mark Hadfield wrote (referring to his desire for a console-mode IDL on
- > Windows):

>>

- >> Actually given that all the IDL developers use IDLWAVE (D. Fanning,
- >> pers. comm.) it's funny they haven't done this already.

_

- > I *think* he meant all you poor souls on Unix, didn't he? We windows users
- > have enjoyed the luxury of a chromacoded DE for ages! LOL

I *think* David was just making it up.

But, having diverted this thread onto one of my pet topics: I agree that IDLDE on Windows is quite usable (whereas the few times I have used IDLDE on Unix I've find it horrid). However the combination of IDLWAVE with XEmacs is really quite magical, provided you can come to terms with the (X)Emacs lifestyle. And it would be really nice to be able to make the package complete, by running an IDL interpreter within XEmacs. I mightn't give up on IDLDE entirely, but I would like to have the option.

Let's stress this again, just so the Unix people won't get too smug: There is no technical reason why IDL for Windows could not include a console-mode executable. Such an executable would be able to generate widget and graphics windows, just like on Unix. (Just look at Python as an example.) The reasons why such a beast does not exist are historical (IDL for Windows was first developed on 16-bit platforms) and/or related RSI's perceptions of Windows users' needs.

Hell, I might even submit a feature request!

--

Mark Hadfield "Ka puwaha te tai nei, Hoea tatou" m.hadfield@niwa.co.nz
National Institute for Water and Atmospheric Research (NIWA)