

---

Subject: Re: Testing for NODATA presence in a dataset  
Posted by [George N. White III](#) on Fri, 03 Jan 2003 15:00:21 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

On Mon, 30 Dec 2002, Tom McGlynn wrote:

```
> David Fanning wrote:
>> Tom McGlynn (tam@lheapop.gsfc.nasa.gov) writes and
>> Bill Thompson confirms:
>>
>>> That doesn't distinguish NaN from the infinities.
>>> The standard trick in any language for looking for NaN's is
>>>
>>> if x ne x then begin
>>>   print,'This is a NaN'
>>> endif else ...
>>
>>
>> Humm, well, consider this little test in IDL 5.5 or 5.6
>> for Windows:
>>
>> IDL> a = [ 1.0, 2.0, !Values.F_NAN, 4.0, !Values.F_NAN ]
>> IDL> print, a
>>   1.00000   2.00000      NaN   4.00000      NaN
>> IDL> print, a(1)
>>   2.00000
>>
>> All well and good so far. Test the algorithm.
>>
>> IDL> if a(1) ne a(1) THEN print, 'NaN' ELSE print, 'Number'
>>   Number
>>
>> Perfect. Working fine. Now test NaN.
>>
>> IDL> print, a(2)
>>   NaN
>> IDL> if a(2) ne a(2) THEN print, 'NaN' ELSE print, 'Number'
>>   Number
>>   % Program caused arithmetic error: Floating illegal operand
>>
>> Oh, oh. What's up with that? And a floating illegal operand to
>> boot. :-(
>>
>> How about the array in general?
>>
>> IDL> print, array ne array
>>   0 0 0 0 0
>>   % Program caused arithmetic error: Floating illegal operand
```

```
>>
>> Humm. I presume you guys have a reason for thinking
>> like you do. Any insights?
>>
>> Cheers,
>>
>> David
>
> Just to follow up on Bill's message.... I did warn in my first message
> that interpreters had been known to screw up this comparison, but I
> believe the behaviour you see above is clearly non-compliant with
> the IEEE 754 floating point standard. I almost never run IDL
> under Windows, but I'd call this a bug -- though I daresay RSI
> will call it a feature.
```

Although everyone knows what you mean by the "IEEE 754:1985 floating point standard", I think the current international standard is "IEC 60559:1989, Binary Floating-Point Arithmetic for Microprocessor Systems" (previously designated IEC 559:1989).

```
> Using IDL 5.2 under Linux I have:
>
> IDL> a=sqrt(-1)
> %Program caused arithmetic error. Floating illegal operand.
> IDL> print, a
>      -NaN
> IDL> print a ne a
>      print, a ne a
>      1
> IDL> z=[0,0,a,a,0]
> IDL> print, z ne z
>      0 0 1 1 0
```

I get the same for IDL 5.5 on SGI Irix.

```
> I believe this to be 'correct' behavior but it appears that
> it is not universally implemented this way within IDL. Of
> course IDL has been implemented on non-IEEE machines (e.g., VAX)
> and so completely consistent behavior may be impossible.
```

Many compilers and runtimes have options to tweak IEC 60559:1989 behaviour for performance reasons. You have to tell some compilers that you want run-time evaluation of expressions like 'x ne x'. I suppose, now that interpreters are moving to just-in-time compilation, we will need similar options for interpreted languages.

If you need consistent cross-platform support for IEC 60559:1989 formats and also missing-value support, the open source R package is handy

(<http://www.ci.tuwien.ac.at/~hornik/R/>).

--

George N. White III <[gnw3@acm.org](mailto:gnw3@acm.org)> Bedford Institute of Oceanography

---