## Subject: Re: xemacs syntax highlighting problem Posted by Paul Van Delst[1] on Fri, 17 Jan 2003 15:06:29 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

"J. Fischer" wrote:

>

- > Paul van Delst wrote:
- >> Why can't the fontification be based on a "context" buffer (dunno what the proper term
- >> would be). That is, you update syntax highlighting based on the immediate context of what
- >> surrounds the line in question? I have no idea how one would specify the extent of the
- >> context, though.

>

> This wouldn't really help to improve efficiency.

why not?

- >> The efficiency issue Carsten mentions can be a real bugger. I use nedit and it checks the
- >> entire buffer every keystroke. Not usually a problem unless you have a lot of lines of
- >> code and you type in a string near the top of the file. The first use of 'causes all the
- >> following 's to be redefined and the character string syntax highlighting "flips". The
- >> closing ' in the line I'm typing corrects the situation but it can take a couple of
- >> seconds while nedit cogitates over the matter. Can be a real pain in the rear.

>

- > There is nothing wrong about checking the entire buffer. Actually, it is
- > the only way to get a efficient (almost) real-time and \_proper\_ syntax
- > highlighting.

\_

- > This puts a higher responsibility on the patterns design, though. What
- > you describe is a badly designed patterns set.

Most likely - seeing as I futzed around with the standard nedit patterns when I started using it. The nedit documentation is a bit sketchy on examples which is the only way I can make sense out of the patterns used. I probably buggered up a perfectly designed pattern.

> Hope you got the point.

Um, I think so.

paulv

--

Paul van Delst CIMSS @ NOAA/NCEP/EMC Ph: (301)763-8000 x7274

Fax:(301)763-8545