Subject: Re: xemacs syntax highlighting problem Posted by s[1] on Thu, 16 Jan 2003 18:01:36 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Thanks for the answers,

that explains a lot to me, I just had a look at idlde and vi to see whether they are smarter, but they both don't even try to highlight the keywords.

Interestingly enough, when I cat&paste several lines, like TITLE='mytitle',\$ GROUP LEADER=myLeader,\$ MBAR=myBar all lines except the first one get updated.

The "context" to fix this problem would only be the previous line. I always found the emacs lisp stuff too confusing, but naiv as I am I would imagine that it can't be too hard to do it, or?

Best regards,

Sebastian

On Thu, 16 Jan 2003, Paul van Delst wrote:

```
> Carsten Dominik wrote:
>>
>>> >> "s" == s <Sebastian> writes:
>>
>> s> Hi all.
>> s> I have a little syntax highlighting problem with my xemacs IDLWAVE mode:
>> s> When using linebreaks like
>> s> wBase = WIDGET_BASE( /COLUMN,
                                                        $
                    TITLE=('Mytitle'),
>> S>
                    GROUP_LEADER= myLeader)
>> S>
>> s> the keywords in the continued lines (TITLE and GROUPLEADER) are
>> s> highlighted correctly when I open the file.
>> s> But once I edit something in these lines (e.g. change 'Mytitle' to
>> s> 'AnotherTitle'), the highlight goes away.
>> s> When I move the comma from the end of the lines to the start of the next
>> s> lines, like:
>> s> wBase = WIDGET_BASE( /COLUMN
                                                     $
                    ,TITLE=('Mytitle')
>> S>
                    ,GROUP_LEADER= myLeader)
>> S>
>> s> everything works fine.
>> s> Any idea whats wrong and how I can fix it?
>>
```

```
>> It cannot be fixed. When editing, [X]Emacs looks only at the current
>> line to update syntax highlighting. This is mainly an efficiency
>> question - you don't want to scan the whole buffer after every
>> character typed.
>>
>> When there is no comma before the keyword, there is no way to tell (by
>> looking at the current line only) if it is a keyword or a variable
>> assignment.
>>
>> On the other hand, when opening a new file, the whole buffer is
>> fontified in one go, and in this case the engine notices
>> continuation lines and highlights correctly.
>
> Why can't the fontification be based on a "context" buffer (dunno what the proper term
> would be). That is, you update syntax highlighting based on the immediate context of what
> surrounds the line in question? I have no idea how one would specify the extent of the
> context, though.
>
> The efficiency issue Carsten mentions can be a real bugger. I use nedit and it checks the
> entire buffer every keystroke. Not usually a problem unless you have a lot of lines of
> code and you type in a string near the top of the file. The first use of 'causes all the
> following 's to be redefined and the character string syntax highlighting "flips". The
> closing 'in the line I'm typing corrects the situation but it can take a couple of
  seconds while nedit cogitates over the matter. Can be a real pain in the rear.
>
> paulv
>
> Paul van Delst
> CIMSS @ NOAA/NCEP/EMC
> Ph: (301)763-8000 x7274
> Fax:(301)763-8545
```