Subject: Re: How to add 'd' to get the correct julian conversion? Posted by Paul Van Delst[1] on Thu, 23 Jan 2003 22:20:07 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

```
Kolbjorn Bekkelund wrote:
> Craig Markwardt wrote:
>> Kolbjorn Bekkelund <kolbjorn@arctic-linux.tnett.no> writes:
>>
>>
>>> How can I add the NEEDED d to get this:
>>> 2452662.305203d
>>>
>>> out of this:
>>> maxtime = jul2cal((data(0,maxgust_time)), /TO_STRING, /MDY)
>>>
>>> In my program (data(0,maxgust_time)) fetches 2452662.305203 out of the
>>> array, but if I don't add the d to the julian date it calculates the
>>> wrong time in the above statement.
>>
>>
>> You can use
    double(data(0,maxgust_time)),
>> but the variable DATA should already be in double precision. At least
>> it should be if you expect 13 decimal digits of precision to be
>> maintained. When you type the number directly on the command line,
>> you probably do have to use the "D" to indicate double precision, but
>> you should not have to if the variable DATA is already double.
>>
>> Craig
>>
 I've checked my array a bit more and it seems as if there's something
> wrong with it. From the file I'm reading in with read-ascii I should
> have this:
 2452662.499876 2.719500
                                  6.216000
                                                343,494000
> 955.793400
                 93.911600
                                -5.444307
> but the print, data in IDL shows:
> 2.45266e+06
                  2.71950
                              6.21600
                                          343.494
                                                     955.793
 93.9116
             -5.44431
If I replace the read-acsii with Reimar Bauers read_data_file I get:
                                              343.49400
> 2452662.5
                 2.7195000
                               6.2160000
 955.79340
                 93.911600
                               -5.4443070
```

> but as you see the julian date in the first element is wrong in both

> arrays. How can I do ensure that I get all digits inserted?

If I understand your question (and I'm not sure I do) there _may_ be two things going on here. David Fanning's post addresses one thing. The other is, if you want to *see* all the digits on screen, then you must use a format string. A generic "print, data" statements means that IDL prints out what the IDL-writers thought was a reasonable number of digits for the data type. If you want something other than the default (i.e. 6 sig figs for your apparently single precision floating points above), you gotta specify it explicitly using

print, format='(<some useful format descriptor>)', data

paulv

--

Paul van Delst CIMSS @ NOAA/NCEP/EMC Ph: (301)763-8000 x7274

Fax:(301)763-8545