Subject: Re: simple array math question Posted by JD Smith on Mon, 27 Jan 2003 18:00:59 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

On Sun, 26 Jan 2003 13:11:31 -0700, Craig Markwardt wrote:

- > Heinz Stege <reply_to_posting@arcor.de> writes:
- >>
- >> Thanks a lot for this very instructive contribution! Since the
- >> proposal of the intarr() method was from me, the NG may allow me to
- >> state this here.

>>

>> REBIN(REFORM(...)) is the better alternative. This is obvious now.

>

- > "Better" can be defined in a lot of ways. Your solution is by far the
- > most readable way, in my view, to extend arrays. And it's cool because
- > nobody seems to have discovered it before!

>

> Speed is only king when you need a king.

Well put. Ideally, IDL would have an intrinsic, readable, "inflate" operator to do what we're all doing in roundabout, quasi-readable ways right now. Maybe something like:

IDL> a=findgen(10,12,14) IDL> g=a[3;7]*randomu(sd,10,12,7,14)

I.e. replicate 7 times over the third dimension.

You might even be able to make it general enough to combine with the other indexing operators.

JD