Subject: Re: Postscript output mods
Posted by Paul Van Delst[1] on Thu, 06 Feb 2003 18:11:17 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

## David Fanning wrote:

Poimor Dou

> Reimar Bauer (R.Bauer@fz-juelich.de) writes:

>

- >>>> Our experience with ms word users and postscript is that's ms
- >>>> word is very bad in handling embedded postcsript files.
- >>> (This depends on the type of images, some of out postscriptfiles
- >>>> are 12MB)

>>>

>>>

>>> Drifting off the original topic here, but: Bad in what way?

>

- > I find that Microsoft Word also likes to put the printer
- > into "bankruptcy mode" in which image files are representedd
- > by special characters (e.g., \$\%@), two to a page, for
- > hundreds upon hundreds of pages.

>

- > By the time you realize what is going on, you
- > have to make another trip to the office supply
- > store for another case of paper. :-(

you have a fireplace? (everyone in CO has one, right?) make paper mache logs from the wasted paper (smush 'em down good and hard). The kids'll have a ball and once they've dried out they'll burn a long time. Hopefully you use organically whitened recycled paper and soy ink to avoid any dioxins/heavy metals poisoning the family/neigbourhood/city (ehem...that \_can\_ be a downside :o\

## paulv

p.s. Don't do this if you mistakenly selected the transparency tray....

--

Paul van Delst CIMSS @ NOAA/NCEP/EMC Ph: (301)763-8000 x7274

Fax:(301)763-8545