Subject: Re: Postscript output mods
Posted by R.Bauer on Thu, 06 Feb 2003 17:07:23 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Mark Hadfield wrote:
> "Reimar Bauer" <R.Bauer@fz-juelich.de> wrote in message
> news:avq4gi$9bav$1@zam602.zam.kfa-juelich.de...
>
>> Our experience with ms word users and postscript is that's ms
>> word is very bad in handling embedded postcsript files.
>> (This depends on the type of images, some of out postscriptfiles
>> are 12MB)
>
>
> Drifting off the original topic here, but: Bad in what way?

Dear Mark

Bad in the way. It doesn't print or takes very long time and breaks just
  behind this large postscript implementation.
One more well known problem is that's usually there is no preview
included and so there is only a frame where the images are. I have seen
in the past versions sometimes the frame was printed and not the images.

I should explain a bit more that the 12MB PS files we got from some
special contour routines overlayed over maps. (It's not only object
graphics which can produce very large PS files)

For the publications we have to write by non latex programs like MS word
we normally convert the PS files to images. That is for most secretaries
much easier to handle.

regards

Reimar

--
Reimar Bauer

Institut fuer Stratosphaerische Chemie (ICG-I)

Forschungszentrum Juelich
email: R.Bauer@fz-juelich.de
 -- -------
       a IDL library at ForschungsZentrum Juelich
  http://www.fz-juelich.de/icg/icg-i/idl_icglib/idl_lib_intro. html
 == =======