
Subject: Re: Interesting WHERE function gotcha
Posted by [Stein Vidar Hagfors H\[2\]](#) on Mon, 10 Feb 2003 17:21:46 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

JD Smith <jdsmith@as.arizona.edu> writes:

> On Thu, 06 Feb 2003 19:35:31 -0700, Craig Markwardt wrote:
[...]
> Yes, the tension between single element arrays and scalars is felt
> deeply, even within the core IDL development group. It's a
> regrettable legacy which must, unfortunately, be preserved for
> compatibility. There are, however, minor changes and improvements:
> e.g., with v5.6, KEYWORD_SET now considers a single element array TRUE
> only if its single element is non-zero; i.e. it's treated just like a
> scalar for this (and only this) function.

Uh... improvement? I beg to differ. Not only does it introduce a single mysterious case where the legacy compatibility fails (when someone relies on the previous truth that "when it's defined as an array, it's set!") (I hope I never have to debug a code experiencing this!), but it introduces even one more level of exception to how singular arrays are treated.... Okay, so trailing singular dimensions are disappearing or are ignored, except when it's the last dimension to survive the slaughter, *except* that this one nifty function that we wrote specifically to say that anything not a scalar zero means "set" is right now doing a vote on whether to consider your singular dimension worthy of noticing... Ugh..!

--

Stein Vidar Hagfors Haugan
ESA SOHO SOC/European Space Agency Science Operations Coordinator for SOHO

NASA Goddard Space Flight Center, Tel.: 1-301-286-9028
Mail Code 682.3, Bld. 26, Room G-1, Cell: 1-240-354-6066
Greenbelt, Maryland 20771, USA. Fax: 1-301-286-0264
