

---

Subject: Re: PNGs without X?

Posted by [JD Smith](#) on Fri, 14 Feb 2003 19:41:13 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

On Fri, 14 Feb 2003 12:21:33 -0700, Dr. Sven Geier wrote:

> Boy, I hope top-posting is alright...  
>  
>  
> A while ago I posted the thing appended below -- and got a few  
> responses. I dug through the various methods and none of them really  
> work. I had noted before that postscript isn't really an option and the  
> same reasons really apply to plotting into the Z-buffer: neat trick  
> that, and I may well use that in the future; but the output I get is  
> nowhere near the output that I get when plotting to an X window. The  
> fonts are entirely different, the colors are differnt, pretty much  
> everything is different.  
>  
> The color part, I suppose, is an outcropping of another problem, that I  
> never managed to find a solution to: I find it very hard (to say the  
> least) to use a certain given color in IDL. It seems like the simplest  
> thing in the world to have a graph with four lines and I want one of  
> them to be red and one green and one yellow and one blue. However there  
> doesn't seem to be a mechanism in IDL(?) that accomplishes such a thing.  
> Before I knew of 24-bit displays, I had a self-made colortable (with  
> tvlct()) in which I knew index 1 is red and index 5 is blue and such,  
> but the first time I tried this on a true-color visual, I learned  
> something.  
>  
> The problem is that I need to be certain what the colors are before the  
> plot, since there are some comments added to the data (via xyouts) that  
> refer to "red line = such-n-such" and such. For the same reason I must  
> be able to rely on a certain plot geometry (which I am consistently not  
> getting when plotting in the Z-buffer) because the positions of things  
> are carefully computed. The entire thing has been evolved over years to  
> work just right and if you suddenly run it with a larger character size  
> (like the Z-buffer seems to do) it'll all blow up.  
>  
> As an example, here's some random data and label:  
>  
> data = tan(findgen(5))  
> plot,data,/nodata  
> oplot,data,color='ff'x  
> oplot,data,psym=5  
> xyouts, 1,1.53,' <- triangle'  
>  
> On my 24-bit display this draws a few red lines, white triangles and  
> labels one of the triangles with "triangle". If I do this on a

```
> "window,xsize=350,ysize=350" and then I do the same thing into the
> Z-buffer following David's website (great site, by the way. Or terrible
> site, as you may look at it: Many idl programmers lose much productive
> time browsing through it... :) I get something that is "kinda like" the
> original, except that the plotting area has a different size, the fonts
> are different and the color is gone - pretty much as different as a plot
> can be while still showing the same thing.
>
> !P.font = -1 or 0 give the same result in the Z-buffer, !p.font=1 gives
> a slightly prettier (but less legible) one, but all three are different
> from their counterparts that are plotted into the X-buffer and not one
> of them is as clear and legible as the p.font=0 in X-windows.
>
> If I plot into the PS device and turn the result into an image like
> this:
>
> gs -q -sDEVICE=ppm -r100 -sOutputFile=- idl.ps | pnmflip -r90 | pnmscale
> \ -xsize=350 -ysize=350 | pnmtjpeg > test.jpg
>
```

```
device,decomposed=0 ; David's tip of the Holocene Epoch
tv!ct,255b,0b,0b,1
set_plot,'PS'
device,/color,bits_per_pixel=8,filename='test.eps',/encapsulated
data = tan(findgen(5))
plot,data,/nodata
oplot,data,color=1
oplot,data,psym=5
xyouts, 1,1.53,' <- triangle'
device,/close
```

Then use ghostscript.

JD

---