
Subject: Re: no backwards compatibility in IDL 5.6
Posted by [Alexander Rauscher](#) on Thu, 27 Feb 2003 15:56:57 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Thu, 27 Feb 2003, James Kuyper wrote:

*Alexander Rauscher wrote:

*>

*> sorry for posting the same thing twice under different subjects, but i

*> think this is important...

*>

*> many of idl programs have to be adapted due do the non existing

*> backwards compatibility of atan (and probably many other functions) .

*> one wouldn't expect a change in such a fundamental function. so now

*> atan(z, /phase) gives the same result as atan(z) in older versions did,

*> where z is (re,im)... this is worse than stupid. this is dangerous.

*

*As you've described it, that doesn't qualify as an example of backwards

*incompatibility. If /phase is a new option with 5.6 (and it isn't

*mentioned in the online help for our 5.4 system), then there won't be

*any existing code using that option. Therefore, atan(z,/phase) could

*play Beethoven's 5th, and not be a violation of backwards compatibility.

*

*What would be an example of incompatibility, is if you need to provide

*the /phase option to get that behavior. Is that what you're saying? If

*so, I'd agree with you that it is stupid, and dangerous.

*

okay, maybe i didn't explain it correctly:

i do need to provide the keyword to to get the same results as in 5.4

e.g.: i have a complex mr image and i can look at the magnitude image:

tvsc1, abs(image)

or at the phase image:

tvsc1, atan(image) ; 5.4

tvsc1, atan(image, /phase); 5.6

so any code written for 5.4 that uses atan() has to be changed to

atan(,/phase) to work correctly in 5.6.

regards,

alex
