
Subject: Re: no backwards compatibility in IDL 5.6
Posted by [David Fanning](#) on Fri, 28 Feb 2003 20:28:16 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

JD Smith (jdsmith@as.arizona.edu) writes:

- > Which is when `_STRICT_EXTRA` was first introduced. Coincidence?
- >
- > My conspiracy theory: RSI switched from checking built-in system routine
- > keywords at compile to run-time with v5.5. This isn't the first time
- > they've done this type of thing: around v5.3, they switched from checking
- > the validity of system variables at compile time to run time (which was
- > rather convenient, actually).

Alright, another case closed by the ace detectives
at the IEPA agency. I'll write a report, sir.

Cheers,

David

P.S. There is a new wrapper program on my web page
that uses only a single EXECUTE statement. :-)

--

David W. Fanning, Ph.D.
Fanning Software Consulting, Inc.
Phone: 970-221-0438, E-mail: david@dfanning.com
Coyote's Guide to IDL Programming: <http://www.dfanning.com/>
Toll-Free IDL Book Orders: 1-888-461-0155
