Subject: Re: no backwards compatibility in IDL 5.6
Posted by thompson on Fri, 28 Feb 2003 20:15:48 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

David Fanning <david@dfanning.com> writes:

 (stuff deleted)

> I think there must have been a change somewhere along
> the way (while we are on this subject). Because I didn't
> expect that file to compile in IDL 5.4, due to the REAL_PART
> function in the IDL 5.5 part of the CASE statement. When it
> did, that's when I realized I needed a nap.

Sure it compiled. Even REAL_PART isn't a built-in function in IDL 5.4, the
compiler just assumes there's going to be a real_part.pro procedure somewhere,
but it won't look for that until runtime.

Hope you had a good nap, ;^)

Bill Thompson