Subject: Re: no backwards compatibility in IDL 5.6 Posted by David Fanning on Fri, 28 Feb 2003 19:42:42 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Pavel Romashkin (pavel romashkin@hotmail.com) writes:

- > Why is EXECUTE used in this program? Why can't the value just be
- > returned from each CASE? Execute will slow it down and as far as I can
- > tell, does nothing special. There is no code that follows the CASE to
- > prevent you from returning at any point. Will it not compile in 5.4 with
- > the extra keyword? I thought keyword mismatches are runtime errors. Am I
- > missing something?

Alright, here is why I am using EXECUTE. If I change the code to this:

```
returnValue = 0.0
version = Float(!VERSION.Release)
IF (version LE 5.5) THEN returnValue = ATAN(imgpart, realpart) $
ELSE returnValue = ATAN(complexNum, /Phase)
```

Then the code won't compile in IDL 5.4, complaining about the PHASE keyword not being defined. :-(

Unless I've completely misunderstood (probable with the drugs I'm taking for this cold), keywords should be sorted out at run-time, not at compile time, according to your theory. What then to you make of this?

Cheers,

David

P.S. The code *does* compile in IDL 5.5, by the way, even though the PHASE keyword is not defined there, either.

--

David W. Fanning, Ph.D. Fanning Software Consulting, Inc.

Phone: 970-221-0438, E-mail: david@dfanning.com

Coyote's Guide to IDL Programming: http://www.dfanning.com/

Toll-Free IDL Book Orders: 1-888-461-0155