
Subject: Re: The continuing saga of WHERE and 2D
Posted by [Pavel Romashkin](#) on Fri, 28 Feb 2003 18:15:11 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Hi JD,

Is the same kind of allocation taking place when one simply calls FLTARR? I bet yes.

I am still puzzled by the fact I can allocate 1.25 times more in the form of pointer arrays than as a single large array, like

```
a = FLTARR(2900000000) ; This is the limit, out of RAM over this (1.32 Gb)
```

```
a = PTRARR(3600, /allocate)
```

```
temp = FLTARR(100000)
```

```
for i = 0, 3599 do *a[i] = temp ; No problem at all
```

I am not fully convinced it is not the memory fragmentation issue, but the difference is too significant. Besides, all this is tested with a fresh instance of IDL. I tried with fragmented memory and of course, the difference is far more dramatic (like twice the size using smaller arrays). In any case, knowing it turned out to be very practical to me. Although it allows to bring the computer really close to crashing (Mac OS tries to dynamically reallocate for itself, too, and hates to find out it only has 4 Mb left).

Pavel

JD Smith wrote:

>

> On Thu, 27 Feb 2003 15:47:12 -0700, David Fanning wrote:

>

>> IDL> c= b[0:4999]

>> IDL> a[c,c,*] = 5

>

> This assignement allocates about 800MB of memory for the index array.

> Read my other post to find out why ;).

>

> JD
