

---

Subject: Re: output  
Posted by [tomson](#) on Wed, 19 Mar 2003 08:12:49 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

OH, a very good ideal! I can print it in GSVIEW!

Thank you and David. Thank all.

:b58sc9\$oc6\$1@newsreader.mailgate.org...  
> "David Fanning" <david@dfanning.com> wrote in message  
> news:MPG.18e185a6879e5d3a989b24@news.frii.com...  
>> tomson (tom2959@21cn.com) writes:  
>>  
>>> SO the best way is to upgrade to word2002.  
>>  
>> Well, personally, I would spend the money on a PostScript  
>> printer. :-)  
>>  
>> Encapsulated PostScript is kind of like that cold capsule  
>> that is half sinus relief and half cold medicine....  
>  
> I think what David is trying to say is this: -:)  
>  
> When Word 2000 or earlier imports an EPS file it stores the Postscript  
code  
> inside the file but doesn't try to interpret it. On the screen it shows a  
> very boring box, perhaps with some information from the file header.  
However  
> it is possible to add a preview graphic to an EPS file. This is usually a  
> coarse-resolution image. For some tips on attaching a preview to an EPS  
file  
> see the following page on Doc Fanning's site:  
>  
> [http://www.dfanning.com/tips/postscript\\_preview.html](http://www.dfanning.com/tips/postscript_preview.html)  
>  
> (But I'm sure you've already looked there, haven't you.)  
>  
> If Word finds a suitable preview graphic (TIFF or WMF format) then it  
> displays that.  
>  
> What you get when you print depends on the type of printer. If it's a  
> Postscript printer, Word sends the Postscript info that it stored; quality  
> is usually good. If it's a non-Postscript printer, Word prints what it  
shows  
> on the screen, either the boring box or the preview; quality is usually  
bad.  
>

> David has suggested that Word 2002 automatically generates an on-screen  
> graphic from a plain EPS file. I can't give an informed opinion without  
> seeing this for myself, but I am guessing that the on-screen graphic is a  
> coarse-resolution image. If so, then this is a cool trick, but not a major  
> advance. Then again, maybe I'm wrong.  
>  
> So it seems that to print embedded EPS files with good quality you still  
> need a Postscript printer. If you can't afford one of those, you might  
> achieve a similar effect with Ghostscript. This is a software Postscript  
> interpreter: it turns Postscript into (usually) images. You can print from  
> Word via a Postscript printer driver to generate yet another Postscript  
> file. Then use Ghostscript to turn this into a honking big image of the  
page  
> and send that to your printer. Well, something like that, anyway. I've  
never  
> had to do this so I can't comment on how easy or successful it is.  
>  
> For info on Ghostscript and its Windows front end, GSview, see  
>  
> <http://www.cs.wisc.edu/~ghost/>  
>  
> ---  
> Mark Hadfield "Ka puwaha te tai nei, Hoesa tatou"  
> m.hadfield@niwa.co.nz  
> National Institute for Water and Atmospheric Research (NIWA)  
>  
>  
>  
>  
>

---