
Subject: Re: output
Posted by [tomson](#) on Wed, 19 Mar 2003 01:24:34 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I am very sorry for that. This is my setting:

```
device,filename='plot.eps',bits=8,/color ,/ENCAPSUL  
DEVICE, SET_FONT='times',/tt_font  
!p.font=1
```

OR

```
device,filename='plot.cgm',colors=2^8,/bin  
(I cannot use set_font??)
```

OR

```
device,filename='plot.wmf',/index_COLOR
```

Are there anything wrong?

:MPG.18e1711ff3367d51989b21@news.frii.com...

> tomson (tom2959@21cn.com) writes:

>

>> I can insert EPS into word and it looks good on the screen. When I
print

>> the file, it looks not so good as file by inserting WMF or CGM(vector
form)

>> figure. So I always convert PS to WMF. But sometimes the converted
figure

>> is not the same as original one. I am puzzled.

>

> *You* are puzzled!? You certainly have me scratching
> my head.

>

> I don't mean any disrespect, but I simply don't believe
> you. :-)

>

> I'm going to suggest that if your PostScript output
> looks worse when it is printed than it does when it's
> on the display, then the PostScript file hasn't been
> created properly. In fact, I'm going to suggest there
> is about a 90% chance that it is a screenshot (an image),
> and NOT real PostScript output, which would be vector
> output similar to your beloved CGM.

>

> Perhaps if we could have a peek at the code you
> use to produce this PostScript output. :-)

>

> Cheers,

>

> David
>
> --
> David W. Fanning, Ph.D.
> Fanning Software Consulting, Inc.
> Phone: 970-221-0438, E-mail: david@dfanning.com
> Coyote's Guide to IDL Programming: <http://www.dfanning.com/>
> Toll-Free IDL Book Orders: 1-888-461-0155
