

---

Subject: Re: output

Posted by [David Fanning](#) on Wed, 19 Mar 2003 01:09:06 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

tomson (tom2959@21cn.com) writes:

> I can insert EPS into word and it looks good on the screen. When I print  
> the file, it looks not so good as file by inserting WMF or CGM(vector form)  
> figure. So I always convert PS to WMF. But sometimes the converted figure  
> is not the same as original one. I am puzzled.

\*You\* are puzzled!? You certainly have me scratching  
my head.

I don't mean any disrespect, but I simply don't believe  
you. :-)

I'm going to suggest that if your PostScript output  
looks worse when it is printed than it does when it's  
on the display, then the PostScript file hasn't been  
created properly. In fact, I'm going to suggest there  
is about a 90% chance that it is a screenshot (an image),  
and NOT real PostScript output, which would be vector  
output similar to your beloved CGM.

Perhaps if we could have a peek at the code you  
use to produce this PostScript output. :-)

Cheers,

David

--

David W. Fanning, Ph.D.

Fanning Software Consulting, Inc.

Phone: 970-221-0438, E-mail: [david@dfanning.com](mailto:david@dfanning.com)

Coyote's Guide to IDL Programming: <http://www.dfanning.com/>

Toll-Free IDL Book Orders: 1-888-461-0155

---