
Subject: Re: output

Posted by [tomson](#) on Wed, 19 Mar 2003 00:57:45 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I can insert EPS into word and it looks good on the screen. When I print the file, it looks not so good as file by inserting WMF or CGM(vector form) figure. So I always convert PS to WMF. But sometimes the converted figure is not the same as original one. I am puzzled.

By the way, idl can produce CGM and WMF figure. But they cannot be controlled easily, sometimes the figure cannot be used(all black....).

"Meta Sienkiewicz" <msienkiewicz@yahoo.com>

?????:c005feb7.0303180739.f7b8c54@posting.google.com...

> David Fanning <david@dfanning.com> wrote in message
news:<MPG.18e04974d40aadd9989b1e@news.frii.com>...

>> tomson (tom2959@21cn.com) writes:

>>

>>> Hi,PS output is very good. But this form cannot be used in Word.

>>

>> Humm. That would be news to me and probably a number

>> of other authors who thought it worked pretty darn

>> well. :-(

>>

>> Maybe you should have a look at some of these PostScript

>> tips:

>>

>> <http://www.dfanning.com/documents/tips.html#PostScript>

>>

>

> As it happens someone was asking me the other day about inserting IDL

> postscript into Word. I did some checking around and I think it is

> necessary to install graphics filters (not included in the default

> install) in order to import EPS in Word. I saw something about this

> on the Microsoft web site:

> <<http://support.microsoft.com/default.aspx?scid=kb;en-us;235928>>

>

> Tomson, you can check on your Word install disk if you have it

> available to see if you can install the filter. Then you can check up

> on David's excellent tips.

>

> Of course if you can't install the graphics filter for some reason I'd

> recommend following Reimar's suggestion and checking Google groups for

> the previous discussion. (That's exactly what I did when the question

> came up the other day.)

>

> Regards,

>
> Meta
