

---

Subject: Re: Complex rebin

Posted by [MKatz843](#) on Thu, 27 Mar 2003 02:35:05 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

> Any reason why REBIN doesn't take complex args?

I can't say why REBIN doesn't take complex args, but I can say that you have to be very careful when you interpolate complex values if you want to have meaningful results.

Consider these two numbers

$z_1 = \text{complex}(1,0) = 1.$

$z_2 = \text{complex}(-1,0) = -1.$

Suppose you were using rebin to reduce the size of a linear array by 2.

Which of the following behaviors would you want?

You could separately average x and y like this, and get zero:

$z\_avg = (\text{complex}(1,0) + \text{complex}(-1,0))/2. = \text{complex}(0,0) = 0$

Or, you could respect the fact that the phase changes by 180 degrees but the amplitude doesn't change at all. So,

$z\_avg = \text{complex}(0,1) = i$

This second value would come from

$z_1 = r_1 \cdot \exp(i \cdot \theta_1)$

$z_2 = r_2 \cdot \exp(i \cdot \theta_2)$  ;--- note  $i = \text{complex}(0,1)$

$z\_avg = ((r_1+r_2)/2.) \cdot \exp(i \cdot (\theta_1+\theta_2)/2.)$

To accomplish the first mode, you could break up and separately REBIN  $\text{real}(a)$  and  $\text{imaginary}(a)$ .

To accomplish the second mode, you could break up and separately REBIN  $\text{abs}(a)$  and  $\text{atan}(a, /phase)$

M. Katz

---