
Subject: Re: Proper pointer cleanup question

Posted by [David Fanning](#) on Tue, 08 Apr 2003 18:03:38 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

M. Katz (MKatz843@onebox.com) writes:

> Thanks! I'll write myself a full reverse-ordered cleanup routine.
> I suppose this explicit cleanup is just as important for objects as
> well:
> Object pointer fields should be explicitly freed in the Cleanup
> method.
>
> Question 1) But what about simple scalar pointers?
> a = ptr_new(fltarr(10,10))
>
> If I set
> a = 0
> Will I have stranded my fltarr(), or is IDL smart enough to deallocate
> it properly?

You will have leaking memory. IDL, as a weakly typed language, always allows you to write dangerous code. :-)

> Question 2) Then how about this scenario
> a = ptr_new(fltarr(10,10))
> b = ptr_new(dblarr(5,5))
>
> a = b ;--- Does this strand the original a array?

Yes, for the same reason as above. You are re-defining A before you have freed the memory the original pointer A pointed to.

> *a = *b ;--- How about this?

This is perfectly OK. IDL handles pointer memory as it does regular variable memory. Pointer A now points to the same data as pointer B, but the memory pointer A originally pointed to has been de-allocated by IDL.

> From looking at help, /memory and testing the above, I think the
> answer to both of my questions is that memory IS stranded unless you
> explicitly free it in all of the above cases.

No, *ptr = someNewData is always permissible. Just like this is permissible:

```
a = 5
```

a = [10, 10]

Cheers,

David

--

David W. Fanning, Ph.D.

Fanning Software Consulting, Inc.

Phone: 970-221-0438, E-mail: david@dfanning.com

Coyote's Guide to IDL Programming: <http://www.dfanning.com/>

Toll-Free IDL Book Orders: 1-888-461-0155
