
Subject: Re: nonuniform FFT

Posted by [John Smith](#) on Mon, 07 Apr 2003 18:47:26 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

"Brad Gom" <b_gom@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:bde24eff.0304041525.3cc5d5bb@posting.google.com...

> Hi All,

>

> has anyone out there implemented a FFT routine that handles
> nonuniformly gridded samples? The Numerical Recipes "faster" routine
> seems to be one way to do it, but I don't want to write a DLM for it
> unless I have to. The internal IDL routine LNP_TEST is an
> implementation of the "faster" code, but it returns only the maximum
> peak of the Lomb periodogram, and not the periodogram itself.

>

> Thanks

>

> Brad Gom

The maximum is exactly what the algorithm produces, and what it should be used for. You should not use it to reproduce the spectrum (even though it is a common approach by people who don't read the paper). The algorithm calculates confidence intervals for this maximum, which is quite useful.

If you carefully read the lomb scargle paper, you see that it performs a least squares fit to a SINGLE (complex) sinusoid. You can certainly apply that algorithm to a broad range of frequencies, but it is still only fitting one sinusoid at a time.

If you wanted to least squares fit the spectrum (all the sinusoids that an
fft

would produce), you must do that simultaneously. (i.e. it is a "huge" matrix inversion).

The problem with that is it is quite likely to be singular.

Do not attempt a DFT instead of an FFT, as there is absolutely no difference between the two. A DFT has the same problem with gaps.

The problem is that when the sampling is not uniform, the "basis" sinusoids are no longer orthogonal.

I would think the best approach is to either concentrate on a few (large amplitude) sinusoids and employ the lomb scargle, or to interpolate the data (and perhaps downsample).

The best solution is to take the data again, and sample regularly (which I

would
guess is not possible).

Cheers
bob
