Subject: Re: IDL random number generator Posted by tandp on Mon, 12 May 2003 17:12:54 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

In article <3EBDEE16.F2E96EAD@saicmodis.com>, James Kuyper <kuyper@saicmodis.com> wrote:

```
> Mike wrote:
>>
>> In article <3EBBB786.9C52F5F3@saicmodis.com>, James Kuyper
>> <kuyper@saicmodis.com> wrote:
>>> krijger@astro.uu.nl wrote:
>>>>
>>>> Hi,
>>>> I know that randomn is pseudo-random, how many numbers can you
>>> generate before the non-randomness kicks in?
>>>>
>>>> Thijs Krijger
>>>
>>> None. The non-randomness is there from the very beginning. You could
>>> make a true random number generator by running it off of the radioactive
>>> decay of atoms, or some similar hardware-based approach. However,
>>> software random number generators are absolutely deterministic, once
>>> you've set up the seed. You can set the seed form a clock setting, which
>>> means that the precise sequence of random numbers generated depends upon
>>> the precise time at which the program reads the clock. But even the very
                                       and the seed
>>
>>
>>> first number can be absolutely predicted from the seed value.
>>>
>>> Every random number generator has a period, after which it starts
>>> repeating the same exact sequence. How long that period is depends upon
>>> the quality of the algorithm used. Commonly used algorithms have periods
>>> in the range of 100,000 numbers or better. Very sophisticated generators
>>
>> The best pseudorandom number generator (congruence method and seed chosen
>> to be the largest prime ineteger representable in a word) will have a
>> period equal to the seed value.
>
> The seed value? Thus, if the seed value is 1, it repeats indefinitely? I
> think you're mistaken on that.
```

A faulty memory led me to describe teh seed as needing to be the largets prime number available on the given system. Actually it is the modulus value that should be chosen this way. The choice of seed, multiplier and modulus numbers is discussed a bit in Numerical Recipes which refers to

Knuth's book. If you need reliable details readup on it there. Don;t trust the internet.

>

- > My understanding is that, if care is taken it choosing the parameters of
- > the algorithm, the period is precisely the best that it could be,
- > independent of the seed value chosen. It's 2^n-1, where n is the number
- > of bits in the seed. However, there are other algorithms that set up an
- > initial state which is much larger than the seed itself, often
- > represented as a array of integers. Ideally, such generators could have
- > a period as long as 2^(n*m), where m is the number of n-bit integers in
- > the array. Let 'm' be as small as 128, and you've got a period that
- > could never possibly be measured before the machines they run on become
- > obsolete.