
Subject: Re: PV-WAVE vs IDL ?

Posted by [rep2857](#) on Fri, 03 Feb 1995 18:22:20 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

In article <3gpm99\$bjc@fhw.ee.ubc.ca>, Dave Michelson <davem@ee.ubc.ca> wrote:

> Sorry if this is a FAQ, but what are the differences between PV-Wave
> and IDL?

>

> I'm vaguely aware that these packages share a common origin. How
> different are they now?

>

> Also, could an IDL-based package like ENVI run under PV-Wave?

>

CC'd to davem@ee.ubc.ca

Here's a couple responses to similar questions I posted a couple weeks ago. They also cover the ENVI question in a roundabout way.

---- begin previous post dated 01/22/95 ----

In article <3fm85f\$lkr@lyra.csx.cam.ac.uk>,

Iarla Kilbane-Dawe <iarla@atm.ch.cam.ac.uk> wrote:

>I'm curious to know what anyone who may have used both systems

>might have to say about comparing the two. We use PV-WAVE

>but I've been told that IDL is

> a) cheaper, and,

> b) more robust and bug free in usage.

>Would anyone have any comments to make on this?

>

Hi Iarla -

I'm using both. I have heard that IDL was cheaper, but I haven't checked the pricing for Wave for a couple years. If Wave is more expensive, it could probably be justified if you use all of the additional components provided by Wave such as the database access and symbolic math. Each of these are additional items to the base cost, but I believe they are unique to Wave. IDL has additional cost items, but these are better described as applications rather than access functions. One is a package called ENVI which is especially suited for working with multi- and hyper-spectral datasets. They also mentioned a medical application in a recent newsletter which I haven't had time to check out, yet.

As far as being more robust or bug-free, I think both are very robust and bugs are certain to be in both products due to their code/library sizes, nature of functions (math, statistics, widgets, etc.) provided, and fairly limited number of users which explore the edges of these

types of functions.

Wave does not support 24-bit color for widget-based drawing applications on Sun's and HP's. Currently, I am only working with 8-bit displays on the Sun's I am using for Wave development, so I am hardware limited. Some of my IDL development is making extensive use of 24-bit display capabilities on the Sun's used for a different project.

Wave is in a partnership with IMSL which may give them a more extensive math library, although IDL doesn't seem to be lacking anything that I normally use.

I feel IDL is easier to program widgets in, but Wave has a larger set of widgets. Either product allows creation of new widgets. If you are used to Motif widgets, Wave widgets will seem more familiar. IDL widgets make better use of keywords for adding additional functionality to widgets, whereas Wave provides similar abilities by having to include handler statements. The end user would be hard pressed to determine whether widget applications were written in IDL, Wave or Motif, though.

...

---- end previous post dated 01/22/95 ----

---- begin previous post dated 01/16/95----

In article <3f2vb8\$nm2@fstgds15.tu-graz.ac.at>,
Sidla Oliver (IMPRO) <pdib35.joanneum.ac.at> wrote:

>
> Hi IDL/PV-Wave users,
>
> as I have mentioned in the subject line, I would like to know the
> differences in widget programming between IDL and PV-Wave. Is there
> any compatibility between the two packages so that I eg. can code my
widgets
> under IDL and run the program under PV-Wave ?
>

I've been programming in IDL for a couple years and recently switched to Wave when I changed projects at work. There is virtually no compatibility between the products at the widget level, but at the command level I was pleasantly surprised at how little, if any, changes need to be made to run my previously coded IDL procedures under Wave. But, you were asking about widgets, weren't you? Well, sorry to say, you can't get there from here. There are some things I think IDL widgets do better (easier mouse handling in drawing widgets, more robust usage of UValues, better error recovery during widget development) and some things I think Wave widgets do better (Form

layouts, WwMessage widgets). At this point, I can't claim that one is better overall than the other (although I bet their vendors can).

---- end previous post dated 01/16/95----

To specifically address the ENVI question, Wave Widgets and IDL Widgets are not compatible, therefore ENVI would have to be rewritten to run under PV-Wave.

Mike Schienle	Hughes Santa Barbara Research Center
rep2857@sbsun0010.sbrc.hac.com	75 Coromar Drive, M/S B28/87
Voice: (805)562-7466	Fax: (805)562-7881
	Goleta, CA 93117
