Subject: Re: SOLVED. Re: Function referencing/automatic defintion question.
Posted by David Fanning on Fri, 30 May 2003 19:38:37 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Paul van Delst (paul.vandelst@noaa.gov) writes:

> Huh? Either they're related or they're not. Why should whether you write the code
> procedurally (is that a word?) or OO-ly make any difference? Apart from increasing the
> calling overhead in the case of the OO way (in the manner that I like to use "objects").

I'm going to let the manner in which you like to use objects
pass. Let's just say I've been making notes for my book,
but I promise not to include real names. :-)

But let me just quote the Coyote Rule for Grouping
Program Modules:

   "Think of file groupings as *commands*. All the
    program modules that make up a single IDL command
    go in a single file, with the last module in the
    file having the "name" of the command. If you
    plan to call any other module in the file anywhere
    outside the confines of the program modules already
    in the file, then that program module should be yanked
    out of that file by the short hairs and placed in its
    own file and named accordingly. To do otherwise is to
    risk complications when you can least afford them.
    Namely all manner of hell is likely to break loose."

Cheers,

David

P.S. I hope we don't even get into the Object Confessional
mode around here. I would be embarrassed to admit to some
of the strange things I thought about objects when I first
started using them. Talk about some truly ugly programs...

--
David W. Fanning, Ph.D.
Fanning Software Consulting, Inc.
Phone: 970-221-0438, E-mail: david@dfanning.com
Coyote's Guide to IDL Programming: http://www.dfanning.com/
Toll-Free IDL Book Orders: 1-888-461-0155