
Subject: Re: SOLVED. Re: Function referencing/automatic definition question.
Posted by [Paul Van Delst\[1\]](#) on Fri, 30 May 2003 19:11:50 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

David Fanning wrote:

>
> Paul van Delst (paul.vandelst@noaa.gov) writes:
>
>> If a data structure allocation routine shouldn't be associated with its definition in the
>> same file then the apocalypse is truly upon us. :o)
>>
>> Given that using () to index arrays is not going away, then I think that COMPILE_OPT
>> STRICTARR is the more elegant solution compared to separate source files. You're more
>> tuned into the human psyche than me, but aren't people the sort of wee beastie that like
>> to collect things into neat little piles.... :o)
>
> I'm not arguing they aren't related. I'm arguing they
> aren't related *enough*. Make those babies object methods
> and THEN you will clearly be doing the right thing.

Huh? Either they're related or they're not. Why should whether you write the code procedurally (is that a word?) or OO-ly make any difference? Apart from increasing the calling overhead in the case of the OO way (in the manner that I like to use "objects").

>> Hang on a minute....it's only lunch-time in the mountains. Sheesh!
>
> But school is out today, and it feels like a holiday! :-)

Can't argue with that. Today is the first day of sunshine here in DC for, oh, about a month. Woohoo!

--

Paul van Delst
CIMSS @ NOAA/NCEP/EMC
Ph: (301)763-8000 x7748
Fax:(301)763-8545
