Subject: Re: Function referencing/automatic defintion question. Posted by Paul Van Delst[1] on Fri, 30 May 2003 13:49:04 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

```
JD Smith wrote:
On Thu, 29 May 2003 12:40:32 -0700, Paul van Delst wrote:
>> If the result of
   PRINT, ROUTINE INFO(/FUNCTIONS)
>> contains the name of the function in question, then it has been
>> compiled, right? And the fact that using
   COMILE_OPT STRICTARR
>> makes eveything work means the same thing. (Right? I think so.)
> There are routines which IDL knows about but hasn't compiled. They're
> called "unresolved".
That's what I meant in my previosu posts when I said they were compiled and resolved.
 Try this:
>
  print,routine_info(/FUNCTIONS,/SOURCE)
Here is the snippet of code where the weird stuff occurs (I commented out the COMPILE
STRICTARR statement):
 ; Create and allocate the coefficient structure
 _____
 : -- Create the structure
 EmisCoeff = { EmisCoeff }
 print,routine_info(/FUNCTIONS,/SOURCE)
 stop; ***NOTE the STOP ***
 : -- Allocate it
 Result = Allocate_EmisCoeff( n_Wind_Speeds, n_Coefficients, n_Channels, $
                  EmisCoeff)
 IF (Result NE SUCCESS) THEN $
  MESSAGE, 'Error allocating EmisCoeff structure', $
       /NONAME, /NOPRINT
The output upon execution is (I put each listing of the ROUTINE_INFO output on a separate
line so it's readable):
IDL> .reset_session
IDL> print, compute_emissivity_coefficients( 'airsM9_aqua.SensorEmissivity.nc',
EmisCoeff, /pause)
% Compiled module: COMPUTE EMISSIVITY COEFFICIENTS.
```

```
% Compiled module: VALID STRING.
% Compiled module: READ NCDF.
% Compiled module: IS_NCDF.
% Compiled module: EMISCOEFF__DEFINE.
{ ALLOCATE EMISCOEFF
/usr2/wd20pd/idl/Emissivity/Sensor_Emissivity_Model/emiscoef f__define.pro}
{ASSIGN EMISCOEFF
/usr2/wd20pd/idl/Emissivity/Sensor_Emissivity_Model/emiscoef f__define.pro}
{ASSOCIATED EMISCOEFF
/usr2/wd20pd/idl/Emissivity/Sensor Emissivity Model/emiscoef f define.pro}
{ COMPUTE EMISSIVITY COEFFICIENTS
/usr2/wd20pd/f90/Emissivity/Sensor Emissivity Model/Regress
Sensor_Emissivity/compute_emissivity_coefficients.pro}
{ COMPUTE EMISSIVITY FIT
/usr2/wd20pd/f90/Emissivity/Sensor_Emissivity_Model/Regress_
Sensor_Emissivity/compute_emissivity_coefficients.pro}
{ COMPUTE THETA COEFFICIENTS
/usr2/wd20pd/f90/Emissivity/Sensor Emissivity Model/Regress
Sensor Emissivity/compute emissivity coefficients.pro}
{ DESTROY EMISCOEFF
/usr2/wd20pd/idl/Emissivity/Sensor Emissivity Model/emiscoef f define.pro}
{ MPCURVEFIT }
{SPLINE }
{ UNIQ }
% Stop encountered: COMPUTE_THETA_COEFFICIENTS 204
/usr2/wd20pd/f90/Emissivity/Sensor Emissivity M
 odel/Regress_Sensor_Emissivity/compute_emissivity_coefficien ts.pro
So, immediately before the call to Allocate EmisCoeff(), it is in the compiled function
list, along with it's source file. I then type .cont:
IDL> .cont
% COMPUTE_THETA_COEFFICIENTS: Variable is undefined: ALLOCATE_EMISCOEFF.
% COMPUTE_EMISSIVITY_COEFFICIENTS: Error computing emissivity vs. theta fit coefficients.
     -1
> I don't think there's anything wrong with your setup. I can put:
>
> function stfunction,a
  return.a^2
> end
> pro stprocedure,b
  return
> end
> pro st define,a
   a=\{ST,b:0\}
```

```
> end
>
> in st__define.pro, and then:
> IDL> a={st}
```

> compiles the listed procedure and function by side-effect, and they work

- > fine. The place this technique can go quite wrong, as it can for objects,
- > is if the structure in question is already defined by some other means.

It isn't. This is the \*only\* place in the code where I do EmisCoeff = { EmisCoeff }

I never create named structures for in-line structure definitions since I am always adding/changing stuff to/in them. But using the \_\_define method creates a named structure so I know where it's happening. If I understand it correctly, a named structure is a different beastie from an unnamed one so it should be guite easy to tell the difference.

And when it reaches this part of the code I always get a

% Compiled module: EMISCOEFF DEFINE.

message since all my tests have been preceded by a ".reset\_session" to ensure I'm not shooting myself in the foot.

- > Then IDL does not feel compelled to compile your \_\_define fine, and your
- > utility routines remain hidden. Any chance you use a full structure
- > definition in creating a struct of this type anywhere else?

No, unfortunately (I say unfortunately because if my brainfade was the cause, that would make me happy).

> You obviously can't mix the two methods for this to work.

O.k. I can see that. But, again, the fact that everything works when I stick in a COMPILE OPT STRICTARR statement suggests that a hidden, in-line definition that I forgot about somewhere else is not the problem.

Thanks for the info re: the ROUTINE\_INFO. Although I'm even more bamboozled now everything tells me the function is all ready to be used but IDL keeps thinking the call is an array reference rather than a function call.

cheers. pauly Paul van Delst CIMSS @ NOAA/NCEP/EMC Ph: (301)763-8000 x7748

Fax:(301)763-8545