
Subject: Re: Function referencing/automatic definition question.

Posted by [David Fanning](#) on Thu, 29 May 2003 19:04:55 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Paul van Delst (paul.vandelst@noaa.gov) writes:

> What this tells me is that the "default action" for IDL in this case is to assume that my
> function call is really an array operation where I'm using () instead of [] to subscript
> the array despite the fact that a function with the same name is compiled and resolved in
> the current scope. Huh?
>
> This totally bamboozles me since I have a load of other source code files (including the
> main file for this little project) that have more than one pro/function in them (e.g.
> widget code with all the event handlers up front) with the "main" routine at the end. This
> is the *only* time I've ever had problems. My assumption that the compilation of automatic
> structure definition source files (the XXX__define type) is handled in the same way as
> other multi-pro/function source files is apparently wrong. If so, I wonder what bright
> spark decided that that would be a good idea?

Well, I'm a little confused, too. But I've been burned too many times to jump on the bandwagon just yet. Most of the time I end up finding something stupid in my own code. :-(

I'm not sure the notion of "compiled and resolved in the current scope" is terribly helpful. (For one thing, I don't even know what it means.) My understanding of the IDL compiler is that when it encounters an unresolved token it checks (1) to see if something by that name is already compiled and saved in the IDL code area, (2) for a *.sav file with the same name as the token, then (3) for a *.pro file with the same name as the token. Failing all this, IDL gives you the benefit of the doubt and assigns the token to its variable list.

It certainly isn't going to satisfy 2 or 3, so we have to assume it is not on the "compiled already" list at the time it checks the token. The real question is "Why not?"

Given the convoluted way this function was called, and the sort of one-thing-after-another way computer software is written, I think a plausible explanation might be that even though IDL has compiled the function, it hasn't yet had a chance to write the function on its function list, so that at the time the list is *checked*, it is not there.

I don't feel confident enough about this to bet the ranch, but I would wager a beer or two that the answer turns out

to be something like this. :-)

Cheers,

David

--

David W. Fanning, Ph.D.

Fanning Software Consulting, Inc.

Phone: 970-221-0438, E-mail: david@dfanning.com

Coyote's Guide to IDL Programming: <http://www.dfanning.com/>

Toll-Free IDL Book Orders: 1-888-461-0155
