
Subject: Re: about replicate_inplace
Posted by [xje4e](#) on Wed, 23 Jul 2003 18:04:43 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

"Richard Younger" <younger@ll.mit.edu> wrote in message
news:3F1ECC7B.B3E675BA@spaMIT.edu...

- > 0.37500000 seconds, BYTARR and [*]
- > 0.35899997 seconds, BYTARR/nozero and [*]
- > 0.14100003 seconds, BYTARR and REPLICATE_INPLACE
- > 0.12500000 seconds, BYTARR/nozero and REPLICATE_INPLACE
- > 0.10899997 seconds, REPLICATE_INPLACE
- > 0.12500000 seconds, MAKE_ARRAY
- > 0.093999982 seconds, onebyte+BYTARR

From these results, it seems that replicate_inplace is the quickest way to
assign particular values to an array. But to have an array having values 0,
BYTARR is the quickest way according to my test.

Best regards,

Xiaoying
