
Subject: Re: Who's up for breaking IDL?

Posted by [R.G. Stockwell](#) on Mon, 04 Aug 2003 16:06:14 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

"David Fanning" <david@dfanning.com> wrote in message
news:MPG.199830a08e6606d89896c6@news.frii.com...

> Paul van Delst writes:

>

>> Huh? Why is that? If you allowed case sensitivity in filenames wouldn't
you

>> still have to check for just one file since banana.pro and Banana.pro
are

>> different files.

>

> I was talking about *automatic* compilation, not
> the standard practice of compiling everything you
> plan to use ahead of time so there are absolutely
> no surprises and so you have something to do that
> impresses the boss. :-)

I agree completely, automatic compilation should only look
for (the arbitrarily decided) lower case. There is no way for IDL to
know what the case "should be" based on the case insensitive code in
IDL.

However, I think the example shown by the original poster, which
used a literal string of the correct case, should have worked, and
the fact that it didn't is a bug.

```
IDL> resolve_routine, 'Resolve_Me'
```

```
% Attempt to call undefined procedure/function: 'RESOLVE_ME'.
```

```
% Execution halted at: $MAIN$
```

It seems like IDL took a string constant 'Resolve_Me' and changed
it to a different string constant 'RESOLVE_ME'.

Cheers,
bob
