

---

Subject: Re: Object Method validity

Posted by [Mark Hadfield](#) on Wed, 13 Aug 2003 21:37:33 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Craig Markwardt wrote:

> rmmoss@cox.net (Robert Moss) writes:

>

>> Is there a way to verify the existence of a particular object method?

>> Something like

>>

>> valid = Method\_Valid( theObject, "HopedForMethod" )

>>

>> I guess. I've spent a little while rtfm-ing and googling to no avail.

>

>

> How about using:

> pronames = [routine\_info(), routine\_info(/func)]

> wh = where(pronames EQ strupcase(CLASSNAME+'::'+METHODNAME), ct)

> if ct GT 0 then print, 'Method found!' else print, 'Method NOT found!'

>

> This assumes the class is already compiled. Also, if you want

> system-level classes, you will need to use the appropriate keywords to

> ROUTINE\_NAMES().

Good suggestion, but you also need to search the methods of the object's superclasses.

--

Mark Hadfield "Ka puwaha te tai nei, Hoesa tatou"

m.hadfield@niwa.co.nz

National Institute for Water and Atmospheric Research (NIWA)

My stupid news server won't accept postings with more included than new text. So I have to add extra text like this. My stupid news server won't accept postings with more included than new text. So I have to add extra text like this.

My stupid news server won't accept postings with more included than new text. So I have to add extra text like this.

My stupid news server won't accept postings with more included than new text. So I have to add extra text like this.

My stupid news server won't accept postings with more included than new text. So I have to add extra text like this.

---