Subject: Re: Syntaxis highlighting in IDL 6.0 Posted by JD Smith on Tue, 09 Sep 2003 22:48:21 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

On Tue, 09 Sep 2003 13:26:04 -0700, Reimar Bauer wrote:

> Smart wrote: >> Thank you guys for the answers! As far as I see there is no >> alternative >> to IDLWave in fact :) I don't fear Emacs, don't get me wrong. I'm just >> a Windows user who is switching to Linux. But who can explain me, why >> should I pay to RSI A LOT OF MONEY for the product, which even doesn't >> have so necessary thing as a normal IDE? Let's hire programmers from >> KDE project and they will write everything you need :) >> >> By the way, I tested Kate with IDL. It's excellent! There is a >> syntaxis >> highliting and it even has a built-it shell window. But... JD Smith is >> right: "... but none that I know of interact with the IDL shell, and >> hence none that could be called IDE's." You can write a text in Kate, >> compile it from the shell, but it's not a IDE actually. >> >> I go to IDLWave site and start learning Emacs:) >> > It's the right decission.

Actually gideon (the next kevelop 3.0) does not have a part for idl. But

- > it has it already for C, C++, Fortan, Java, PHP, perl, Python and Ruby.
- > Later someone should be able to write a rudimentary idl part module. I
- > believe if this isn't done in coorporation with rsi it would not be as
- > useful as it could be.

I might mention that the typical "add-on" for dealing with IDL in other editors involves nothing more than describing the keywords, comments, strings and commands to be colorized. There is far more to IDLWAVE than this; in fact, it comprises probably less than 1% of the total codebase.

> Thanks JD for the IDLWave mode.

> >

Thank you, and thanks to Carsten, and all the many contributors who have made IDLWAVE what it is today.

JD