Subject: Re: Syntaxis highlighting in IDL 6.0 Posted by R.Bauer on Tue, 09 Sep 2003 20:26:04 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Smart wrote:

- > Thank you guys for the answers! As far as I see there is no
- > alternative
- > to IDLWave in fact:) I don't fear Emacs, don't get me wrong. I'm just
- > a Windows user who is switching to Linux. But who can explain me, why
- > should I pay to RSI A LOT OF MONEY for the product, which even doesn't
- > have so necessary thing as a normal IDE? Let's hire programmers from
- > KDE project and they will write everything you need :)

>

- > By the way, I tested Kate with IDL. It's excellent! There is a
- > syntaxis
- > highliting and it even has a built-it shell window. But...
- > JD Smith is right: "... but none that I know of interact with the IDL
- > shell, and hence none that could be called IDE's." You can write a
- > text in Kate, compile it from the shell, but it's not a IDE actually.

> I go to IDLWave site and start learning Emacs:)

It's the right decission.

Actually gideon (the next kevelop 3.0) does not have a part for idl. But it has it already for C, C++, Fortan, Java, PHP, perl, Python and Ruby. Later someone should be able to write a rudimentary idl part module. I believe if this isn't done in coorporation with rsi it would not be as useful as it could be.

One of the benifits of emacs is that it could be used on a simpe terminal console too. (and this with all features:))

Thanks JD for the IDLWave mode.

Reimar

- Rick Towler wrote:
- >> There is nEdit, not an IDE by any means but it does syntax highlighting
- >> used with the IDL pattern set (idl.pats). Last time I checked the .pats
- >> file was woefully out of date but nEdit is a stable editor available for
- >> a number of platforms.