
Subject: Re: idlde: Linux vs. Windows

Posted by [odel](#) on Mon, 15 Sep 2003 15:45:44 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

So, after failing to get IDLWAVE going, I switched back to windows 2000.

(i know i know). It is working so far, but I'm intrigued by the idea of nedit plus a command line...I may try that if i get forced back to linux.

Personally, i enjoy the feature of seeing all the in-memory variables in their own little window in the IDLDE. the above would lose that nice aspect.

Geez, though, you'd think RSI would get on the ball a little and write a decent de. I know there have been many other threads on this, but just thought I'd let you all know that I wrote them and told them my feelings, and the corresponder guy said he would "pass my comments along to the relevant people". I remain skeptical :)

Chris O'Dell
UW-Madison AOS Department

mike.walker@iname.com (Michael Walker) wrote in message
news:<e911e675.0309111217.19d3bee6@posting.google.com>...

>> For me on UNIX, it's nedit in one window, and the IDL command line in
>> another window:

>>

>> <http://www.nedit.org/features.shtml/>

>>

>> Cheers,

>> Liam.

>> Practical IDL Programming

>> <http://www.gumley.com/>

>

> Exactly what I do.. nedit provides the syntax highlighting I like
> without extra fluff from emacs that I never use. But then, I never
> got used to emacs. Tried it a few times, never got hooked like so
> many others :)

>

> -Mike Walker
