
Subject: Re: What does an optimal scientific programming language/environment need?

Posted by [Duane Bozarth](#) on Mon, 22 Sep 2003 18:16:08 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Richard Maine wrote:

>

> Duane Bozarth <dp_bozarth@swko.dot.net> writes:

>

>> Well, since F77 there is little that has actually been removed and a
>> major consideration (as is evidenced in conversions in c.l.f) is
>> maintaining compatability w/existing code. In practice, virtually
>> nothing is ever removed from a commercial compiler although most have
>> switches to allow specific standard level violations to be flagged...

>

> Note that the "since f77" applies to the whole paragraph. Whether
> you intended it to or not, I'm unsure; but it needs to.

Yes, I <did> intend that--hopefully it wasn't <too> unclear, but
undoubtedly wise to comment/amplify...

I was, admittedly, making an implicit assumption that there really are
few pre-F77 compilers around, which is, not <necessarily> globally true,
but for a new language on what was specified to be for "Wintel/Lintel"
only platforms figured that wouldn't be a stretch.
