
Subject: Re: What does an optimal scientific programming language/environment need?

Posted by [bjorn](#) on Mon, 22 Sep 2003 10:26:20 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

"phil chastney" <phil@KEEP.amadeus-info.SPAMFREE.freeseve.co.uk> wrote in message news:<bkkvp6\$bfh\$1@news7.svr.pol.co.uk>...

>>> you will see that at least 5 languages are missing:

>>> A, A+, J, K, Q-Nial

>>

>> Also missing are IDL, PVWAVE, and MATLAB, which lack of mention

>> seems odd since those are the newsgroups to which this message is

>> crossposted.

>

> SIMPL is also missing, which is a favourite of mine because it has been used 5

It would probably be more accurate to list programming languages in groups and subgroups rather than individually.

Quite a few are just dialects of some major brand and do not necessarily need to be listed separately.

I am not sure you would end up with many groups, there would be a lot of subgroups and then various numerous dialects within the subgroups.

It would of course be interesting to see the number of followers who believe in different groups, subgroups and dialects.

It will take years to get people to agree on the groupings and where individual programming dialects really belong.
