
Subject: Re: What does an optimal scientific programming language/environment need?

Posted by [Gary L. Scott](#) on Sun, 21 Sep 2003 21:46:30 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Ken Plotkin wrote:

>
> On Sun, 21 Sep 2003 17:29:12 +0100, John Sullivan
> <spamtrap@yddraiggoch.demon.co.uk> wrote:
>
>> If you follow the link to the 920 programming languages on page
>> <http://wombat.doc.ic.ac.uk/foldoc/contents/language.html>
>> you will see that at least 5 languages are missing:
>> A, A+, J, K, Q-Nial
>
> Make that at least six. I don't see COMAL listed, either.

Well, I've written several "macro processors". That's a pseudo interpreter I would guess. Does that count or only those that were borne of academia? Including those, I would guess there may be many thousands of "languages".

--

Gary Scott
<mailto:garyscott@ev1.net>

Fortran Library
<http://www.fortranlib.com>

Support the GNU Fortran G95 Project: <http://g95.sourceforge.net>
