
Subject: Re: What does an optimal scientific programming language/environment need?

Posted by [phil chastney](#) on Sun, 21 Sep 2003 19:56:01 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

"R.G. Stockwell" <noemail@please.com> wrote in message
news:hQmbb.33\$Rk2.40033@news.uswest.net...

>

> "John Sullivan" <spamtrap@yddraiggoch.demon.co.uk> wrote in message

> news:G8SUNoRYHdb\$EAf2@yddraiggoch.demon.co.uk...

>> In message <[Dbjbb.20886\\$Od.764849@twister.tampabay.rr.com](mailto:Dbjbb.20886$Od.764849@twister.tampabay.rr.com)>, David Frank

>> If you follow the link to the 920 programming languages on page

>> <http://wombat.doc.ic.ac.uk/foldoc/contents/language.html>

>> you will see that at least 5 languages are missing:

>> A, A+, J, K, Q-Nial

>

> Also missing are IDL, PVWAVE, and MATLAB, which lack of mention

> seems odd since those are the newsgroups to which this message is

> crossposted.

SIMPL is also missing, which is a favourite of mine because it has been used 5 or 6 times, for completely different languages (which are not to be confused with the current Linux initiative)

actually, I think I could name a couple of dozen other omissions . . . /phil
