
Subject: Re: What does an optimal scientific programming language/environment need?

Posted by [John Sullivan](#) on Sun, 21 Sep 2003 16:29:12 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

In message <Dbjbb.20886\$Od.764849@twister.tampabay.rr.com>, David Frank <dave_frank@hotmail.com> writes

>

> "grunes" <grunes@yahoo.com> wrote in message

> news:2c0d6c85.0309191029.3efe3a99@posting.google.com...

>> I'm working on creating an optimal scientific programming language

> and

>> environment. My hope is that people who use current environments

> have

>> specific things they love about it, that need to be included. For

> now

>> I'm trying to combine the best concepts from FORTRAN, BASIC, C, APL,

>> IDL, PV-WAVE, and possibly MATLAB.

>>

>

> Hmmm, yours would be programming language #921 according to below:

>

> <http://www.theinquirer.net/?article=11651>[http://www.theinquirer.net/?art](http://www.theinquirer.net/?article=11651)

> icle=11651

>

>

If you follow the link to the 920 programming languages on page

<http://wombat.doc.ic.ac.uk/foldoc/contents/language.html>

you will see that at least 5 languages are missing:

A, A+, J, K, Q-Nial

--

John Sullivan

Please note that any disclaimer on email to me does not apply, because I have not agreed with it. If your lawyers disagree, please ask them to study the law of contract.
