
Subject: Re: inconsistence in 5.4 -> 6.0

Posted by [marc schellens\[1\]](#) on Wed, 08 Oct 2003 09:04:26 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Graham wrote:

> Marc Schellens <m_schellens@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:<3F827F34.9010207@hotmail.com>...

>

>> in 5.4:

>>

>> IDL> cc=complex(0,1)

>> IDL> if cc then print,'true' else print,'false'

>> false

>>

>> in 6.0:

>>

>> IDL> cc=complex(0,1)

>> IDL> if cc then print,'true' else print,'false'

>> true

>>

>> potentially dangerous, isn't it?

>

>

> Seriously, you don't use IDL for complex numbers do you?

No.

I was just playing with 6.0

But why are you so sure about this?

I always thought that other people well use complex numbers.

Are there some reasons why nobody should use IDL with complex numbers?

Anybody out there using complex numbers in IDL?

marc
