Subject: Re: efficient polynomial fitting Posted by mvukovic on Thu, 16 Oct 2003 14:55:34 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message mperrin+news@cymric.berkeley.edu (Marshall Perrin) wrote in message news:
bmlf39\$1tnc\$1@agate.berkeley.edu>... > What's the most efficient way to fit a polynomial of low order (~3) to several > hundred thousand data points? I feel there has to be a more IDL-ish, matrix based > solution than a for loop calling poly fit 300,000 times (which is of course sloopow). > > My first approach was to code up a straightforward linear-least-squares polynomial > fitter and append an extra dimension to everything to do the fit for all my points > in parallel, but this fails, due to the behavior of the matrix multiply operator. > > Let "deg" be the degree of the polynomial I'm trying to fit, and let's consider > my data to be an array of size [nt,nx]. I want to do the polynomial fit over the > t dimension, while the points are completely independent in the x direction. That is, > I'm *not* trying to do a two-D least squares - I just want to do least squares on > tons of point simultaneously. So here goes: > > ; basic setup for least squares fit, with the nx dimension tacked on... > pow = indgen(deg+1)> powarr = rebin(transpose(pow),nt,deg+1,nx) > > ti = rebin(reform(double(data),nt,1,nx),nt,deg+1,nx) > tarr = t^powarr > tarr transpose = transpose(tarr,[1,0,2]) > > ; here's where we get into trouble >

> In other words, I want to matrix multply a [nt,d+1,nx] * [d+1,nt,dx] and only have the multiply

> operate over the first two dimensions, leaving the third alone. Is there any way to do this in IDL,

alpha = tarr ## tarr transpose; alpha should be (d+1)*(d+1)*nx, but is just (d+1)*(d+1)

> *without* resorting to a for loop of some kind?

- Marshall

>

My suggestion would be to get the explicit formulae for the coefficient of the polynomial, and vectorise those.

Good luck,

Mirko