

---

Subject: Re: Finding if a structure tag name is defined?  
Posted by [David Fanning](#) on Tue, 14 Oct 2003 14:32:36 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

William writes:

> I want to know if a given keyword has been passed down via `_extra`, so  
> I said "if (n\_elements(e.c\_linestyle) ne 0)" which of course doesn't  
> work because if it isn't there you can't say `e.linestyle` (bring on  
> perl...). I can use tag names to get all the tags, then search through  
> for a match, but that seems silly and ugly. What is the correct way  
> to find if a given structure has a certain tag in it? (And why  
> couldn't I find the answer buried within DF's site ;-)?

`_EXTRA` is often a great convenience (and maybe even a miracle when you are writing object programs), but it should NOT be used to collect keywords you care about (at least not in the way you appear to be using it here). In fact, I'm adding a rule to Coyote's List of Rules You Violate Only If You Wish Your Program to Break at the Most Inopportune Moment:

Rule 3495: If you care about a keyword, be sure  
    \*you\* define it somewhere!

Consider the `PLOT` command. It has 50-60 different keywords that can be used with it. But if you are writing some kind of wrapper to the `PLOT` command that uses a nifty new plot symbol, you could care less about 49-59 of those keywords. But you care desperately about the `PSYM` keyword. In that case, you MUST define a `PSYM` keyword for your program.

It is not fair to write this program and then decide later than you really care about the `LINESTYLE` keyword, too, and decide to fish it out of the `_EXTRA` structure. (And what a nightmare, since you will have to look for \*all\* possible spellings of `LINESTYLE`!) If you care about it, you define it. Period. :-)

All those other keywords can be collected easily and simply with `_EXTRA`. It is a convenience. (And also, occasionally, a pain in the neck. Maybe you really want to use `_STRICT_EXTRA` instead. Think about it.)

`_EXTRA` gives you more time to go drink coffee. But it doesn't take away the responsibility of being a conscientious programmer. :-)

Cheers,

David

--

David W. Fanning, Ph.D.

Fanning Software Consulting, Inc.

Phone: 970-221-0438, E-mail: [david@dfanning.com](mailto:david@dfanning.com)

Coyote's Guide to IDL Programming: <http://www.dfanning.com/>

Toll-Free IDL Book Orders: 1-888-461-0155

---