
Subject: Re: HELP: Levenberg-Marquardt method
Posted by [agrap](#)s on Sat, 04 Mar 1995 17:17:12 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

kletzing@unhedi2.unh.edu (Craig Kletzing) writes:

> I believe that the CURVEFIT routine implements Barington's version of

~~~~~

I believe you mean Bevington (from *\_Data Reduction  
and Error Analysis for the Physical Sciences\_*, 1969  
edition).

> the Levenberg-Marquardt method. This is often not, however, the most  
> stable of curve fitting techniques. If your data is not sufficiently  
> regular, this method can get lost and find only a local best fit while  
> missing other much better fits.

> Craig Kletzing  
> University of New Hampshire

Would you care to elaborate? I've used my own version of IDL's CURVEFIT (I  
added the capability to output covariance matrices and fixed what I think  
is a bug in the final pass of the algorithm) for close to 10 years now  
on a wide range of different astronomical/atmospheric data sets, and my  
results are usually very good. I would be very interested in knowing  
about different/better curvefitting techniques.

Amara

--

\*\*\*\*\*

Amara Graps email: [agrap@netcom.com](mailto:agrap@netcom.com)  
Computational Physicist vita: [finger agraps@sunshine.arc.nasa.gov](mailto:finger agraps@sunshine.arc.nasa.gov)  
Intergalactic Reality bio: [finger -lm agraps@netcom.com](mailto:finger -lm agraps@netcom.com)

\*\*\*\*\*

"The play's the thing." --Shakespeare

---