Subject: Re: PostScript output from iTools
Posted by Ralph.Gailis on Thu, 20 Nov 2003 23:33:23 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

David Fanning <david@dfanning.com> wrote in message news:<MPG.1a22a9b62448b6fc98973f@news.frii.com>... > John Mariska writes:

>

- >> I was once very excited about iTools, especially after RSI spent
- >> a morning giving us a demo here at NRL. In my view, it would at
- >> last provide a way to prepare figures for publication without
- >> going through all the usual agony of placing labels and text at
- >> various places on the figure. Thus it was a major disappointment
- >> to discover that iTools are basically useless for producing
- >> figures for publication. Virtually all publishers require that
- >> figures be submitted as encapsulated postscript. (Color figures
- >> usually need to by CMYK postscript files--another place RSI has
- >> not helped much, though I hear they are working on it.)

>

- > I've heard from my usual anonymous sources this morning
- > that RSI is well aware of these problems and that the
- > calvary is on the way. Tell your boss you want to "think
- > deeply" about the problem you are working on and don't
- > want to publish until you are sure you won't embarrass
- > him. He'll appreciate the extra time it takes. :-)

>

> Cheers,

>

> David

Oh well, seeing the wisdom out there on PostScript from iTools, I guess that I will just forget about using iTools for now - it doesn't seem much use to me. I like IDL Direct Graphics for being able to write automated programs to produce LOTS of figures automatically when crunching through big datasets, but when it comes to producing some final figures for publication which require a lot of tweaking, it can be a hassle to have to spend the time writing a program for each one (or using the command line in a slow and iterative process). I thought that iTools might be the answer, but no...

Cheers, Ralph.