
Subject: Re: IDL 6.0 on Fedora Core 1 Linux
Posted by [R.Bauer](#) on Tue, 25 Nov 2003 21:51:16 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Mirko Vukovic wrote:

> Reimar Bauer wrote:

>

>> Mirko Vukovic wrote:

>>

>>> Paul Hein wrote:

>>>

>>>> Has any one yet tried out Fedora Core 1 (RedHat 10's new name) Linux
>>>> with IDL? I was wondering if it worked. What is RSI going to do
>>>> with their Linux versions? Are they going to switch to testing with
>>>> another linux distribution, or test with the expensive RedHat
>>>> Enterprise versions, or with the new Fedora versions? I am thinking
>>>> of upgrading from RedHat 7.3 and RedHat somewhat dropping support
>>>> for the free versions have me wondering what I should do?

>>>> Paul

>>>>

>>>

>>> It works for me on Debian Woody distribution on my 180MHz box. It
>>> installed without a hitch.

>>>

>>> I am trying to see what is the minimal hardware config for IDL these
>>> days.

>>>

>>> Mirko

>>>

>>

>> I am interested in this too please do a posting afterwards.

>>

>> Reimar

>>

>>

>

> Reimar,

>

> what exactly did you have in mind? My message was intended as a bit of
> a joke, as my installation is probably one of the least powerfull on the
> planet.

>

> But do you need some performance specs for it or something else (like
> overall ease of use and IDL speed and responsiveness)?

>

> Mirko

>

Dear Mirko,

I am working at the moment on idl in a UML. The UML could be used with different setups. One is to use it without X-SERVER and doing all in the Z-Buffer or using redirection of the display to a different machine.

The reason for this is. I like to call idl by a wiki processor and this protects the apache server to be killed. Otherwise a mistake like `file_delete,file_search()` will clean the whole box.

If the UML in this way is killed it is easy to restore because its only one file to restore.

By always much memory I have not thought about the real necessary memory which idl needs to run.

Reimar
