
Subject: Re: 2D FFT Slow. Any ideas? fft2()
Posted by [Brian](#) on Mon, 08 Dec 2003 08:06:47 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

But your matlab fft2 time is still quite a bit faster (3.2 sec vs 8.1 sec).

I have no idea how to use that FFTW but I am going to look into that.

thanks,

brian

"R.G. Stockwell" <noemail@please.com> schrieb im Newsbeitrag
news:Hx6Ab.410\$v23.28915@news.uswest.net...

>

> "R.G. Stockwell" <noemail@please.com> wrote in message

> news:wq6Ab.409\$v23.28199@news.uswest.net...

>>

>> Hi Brian,

>> I found some time to take a look at this, and I see the same thing you
do.

>> This is on a 1.13 ghz dell inspiron 8100 laptop running win2000.

>> Matlab 6.5 did the fft of 2048 by 2048 array of doubles in 0.9 seconds.

>> IDL 6.0 did it in 4.6 seconds (ram 109 MBs).

>>

>> Wow, that is surprising. The idl version is quite slow.

>>

>> For a double complex array IDL takes 8.1 seconds (ram 174 MBs),

>> matlab takes 1.6 sec (211 mb ram).

>>

>> Interesting.

>>

>> -bob

>

>

> DOH!

>

> Um.... after I posted this, I realized that one should use fft2() in

> matlab.

>

> The matlab time for the fft of a double 2048 by 2048 is 3.2 seconds.

>

> So, it is in line with the IDL times, and IDL seems to handle memory a
> little

> more efficiently.

>

>

> Cheers,

> bob

>

>
