## Subject: Re: Cleaning up inherited object classes Posted by JD Smith on Wed, 03 Dec 2003 22:12:29 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

On Wed, 03 Dec 2003 12:28:27 -0700, M. Katz wrote:

- > Cleaning up is my least favorite activity. Were my living room an IDL > object I'm sure it'd be full of dangling pointer references. Here's a > question regarding objects' Cleanup methods and inheritance. > When an object inherits another object, methods can be overridden. So > what happens to the CleanUp method? It is special. > > If my House object inherits the Living\_Room and Bathroom object classes, > will a call to HOUSE::CleanUp also call Living\_Room::CleanUp and > Bathroom::Cleanup when obj\_destroy, self is called? > Let me put that another way. Suppose an object class, A, has pointer > fields. Unless someone tells me otherwise, I assume it's a good idea two > specifically free the pointers in that object's Cleanup routine. Now, > suppose another object class, B, inherits A. B has its own pointers to > clean up as well, so I write that into its cleanup routine. It is sufficient to write the Cleanup methods like this? > pro Bobj::CleanUp ptr\_free, self.Bpointer > obj destroy, self > end pro Aobj::CleanUp ptr\_free, self.Apointer obj\_destroy, self > end > > Will Bobj::CleanUp's call to "obj\_destroy, self" also call Aobj::Cleanup so that self. Apointer can be freed as the object is destroyed? >
- > Also, does the destruction of an object that contains a pointer field
- > also inherently free the pointer? or is it necessary to specifically ask
- > for that in the Cleanup?
- Now if I could only get the House::TakeOutTheTrash method to work
- > reliably my wife would be thrilled.

You need to clean up dynamic memory in each object which contains any, which means chaining your calls to Cleanup to superclass(es) --- IDL never chains for you automatically (unlike some languages you may

know), and OBJ\_DESTROY is specifically trapped inside Cleanup (it seems) to avoid recursive calls.

On the plus side, the lovely HEAP\_FREE routine RSI gave us with IDL5.3 does a very nice job of cleaning up large data structures with lots of dynamic data (pointers/objects) tucked into them. Though the manual warns of inefficiencies, in the few cases I've tested, HEAP\_FREE is actually faster than the explicit alternative, even with thousands of variables on the heap. I often write a cleanup method as simple as:

pro FooClass::Cleanup heap free,self.data self->SuperClass::Cleanup end

with the intention of fixing it later. Since I've found it to be just as fast, and far less error prone, I generally just leave it, unless I want to preserve some parts of the data (e.g. shared objects/pointers).

JD