
Subject: Re: Resolving Built-ins and FORWARD_FUNCTION
Posted by [Craig Markwardt](#) on Tue, 09 Dec 2003 19:47:20 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

JD Smith <jdsmith@as.arizona.edu> writes:

- > Thanks Craig. RSI has filed an internal bug-fix request on this one,
- > and suggested a workaround of using "COMPILE_OPT IDL2" in place of
- > FORWARD_FUNCTION. Of course, this would not really help Wayne, who is
- > using FORWARD_FUNCTION to allow NasaLib to run for older IDL 5.x
- > versions (COMPILE_OPT was introduced in v5.3). And it also doesn't
- > help if you're "compiling" in code from libraries over which you have
- > no control.
- >
- > I'm not sure why nobody complained: the bug is present as far back as
- > v5.5 (which is the earliest version I had to test). The test is easy,
- > if you have AstroLib:

I confirmed the problem is present on IDL's 5.1 through 5.4 as well.

- > Because I knew I could get you to do it for me ;). Next question I
- > should probably find out for myself: is there a programmatic way to
- > tell if you're running from a restored SAV file or from real, live
- > source? Can you tell I've almost never built a routine SAV file? I'm
- > trying to see if I can get a large package to run with the IDLVM.

You could probably look for .sav/.SAV in the source file name?

I really don't use IDL save files for routines any more, after a certain large corporation (name similar to Kodiak) threatened a potential lawsuit against me.

Craig

--

Craig B. Markwardt, Ph.D. EMAIL: craigmnet@REMOVEcow.physics.wisc.edu
Astrophysics, IDL, Finance, Derivatives | Remove "net" for better response
