
Subject: Re: IDL and supercomputers?

Posted by [Jamie](#) on Thu, 18 Dec 2003 21:49:32 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

There are DLMS written for using PVM and MPI using IDL. I sincerely hope you have read and understood the thread white paper, see bottom of <http://www.rsinc.com/services/techtip.asp?ttid=3252>. I doubt that using 1100 CPUs will prove particularly useful unless you are doing simple, independent calculations and comparing the results later (Monte Carlo runs of a model that is capable of running without inter-process communication would be an example). IDL has hard limits that you will undoubtedly run into if you were to try and solve a large memory SMP problem.

You must certainly will need to be careful with any version of IDL > 5.5 as you must synchronize the number of CPUs you allocate with the number of threads. IDL 5.6 has been banned from one cluster I know of because it is impossible for the admin to globally restrict the number of threads it uses. The number of threads using IDL-RT or IDL-VM can only be set by the user. In short, when IDL starts, it detects the number of CPUs present and then sets `ncpu == nthreads`. This is very bad form if you didn't allocate `ncpu` processors.

I suspect that you will have a very hard time convincing people that running IDL code on a big-iron supercomputer will provide benefit. Is there any particular reason you aren't writing this code in HPF/HPC?

Jamie

On Thu, 18 Dec 2003, Ben Panter wrote:

>
>
> Jonathan Greenberg wrote:
>> Has anyone worked with ENVI/IDL on any supercomputers? Any suggestions on
>> optimizing code for use with them? I'm currently trying to get time on the
>> San Diego Supercomputer, and was wondering if its worth the time -- one
>> question I had, in this case, is there such thing as too MANY processors
>> (the SDSC has 1100!) -- do I want to limit the number of threads when
>> working on an array? Thanks! Any other stories related to this would be
>> great!
>
> Jonathan,
>
> The nearest I get is trivially parallel jobs running on 30 machines
> over a heterogeneous cluster (in fact the more powerful observatory
> machines at night). If you have any luck with this project I'd love to
> hear about it - I think one of your prime worries might be getting
> enough licenses to run this stuff...

>
> Ben
